### Case study: Example of PV Solar Field in NOVO PRO® Expert Program



This case study compares simulation results from NOVO PRO<sup>®</sup> with the plant actual performance for five solar plants. These plants have their actual operating data available to the general public on-line, courtesy of the plant owners.

		Capacity	AC to DC		
Solar Plant	Commissioning	(MWac)	Ratio	Tracking	Solar Panel Module
Northwest					Hanwha Q.Plus L-
Jacksonville Solar	2017	7.06	80.0%*	North-South rows <sup>++</sup>	G4.2 330
					Hanwha Q.Plus L-
Old Plank Road Solar	2017	3	80.0%*	Fixed tilt	G4.2 330**
Mount Signal Solar 1	2014	206	77.5%	North-South rows	FS-6420***
Solar Star 1	2014	314	78.9%	North-South rows	LG360Q1C-A5***
Solar Star 2	2014	266	76.0%	North-South rows	LG360Q1C-A5***

\* Assumed according to the common practice in the indusry

\*\* Assumed to be same as Jacksonville Solar as both of them are belong to JEA

\*\*\*Assumed based on the information available on the web

<sup>++</sup>The tracking axis is aligned parallel to longitude lines.

### **Executive Summary**



With maximum 6 inputs for each model, annual yields predicted by NOVO PRO<sup>®</sup> match with the real plants average annual outputs within  $\pm 5\%$  for all the five cases.

## Inputs to NOVO PRO® Models

U	

	Northwest	Old Plank	Mount Signal		
NOVO PRO <sup>®</sup> Inputs	Jacksonville Solar	Road Solar	Solar 1	Solar Star 1	Solar Star 2
Select Irradiance Data from					
NVP database	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Select Ambient Data from NVP					
database	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Input Inverter rated AC power					
output	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Input Desired Inverter AC rating					
/ Nominal panels DC rating	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Select Row Tilt / Tracking	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Select PV Panel Model from					
NVP Library / Set User-defined					
Panel	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

### Northwest Jacksonville Solar plant



Yellow circle: JACKSONVILLE INTL ARPT, FL (# 722060) and the plant.









► NOVO PRO<sup>®</sup> is able to predict the plant annual yield nicely, better than the onsite prediction program which might have used the onsite weather data.

Note Jun & Jul 2018 data are taken out due to the inverter outputs are abnormally small .

#### Old Plank Road Solar Plant





Purple circle: the plant; yellow circle: JACKSONVILLE NAS, FL (# 722065)







Similar to Jacksonville plant, NOVO PRO<sup>®</sup> is able to predict the plant annual yield nicely, better than the onsite prediction program which might have used the onsite weather data.

## Mount Signal Solar 1



Purple circle: the plant; yellow circle: yellow circle: IMPERIAL, CA (# 747185)





➢ Results from NOVO PRO<sup>®</sup> match with real plant outputs very well.

➤The biggest deviation in 2014 could be due to plant instability at its commissioning stage.

#### Solar Star Plants



Purple circle: the plants; yellow circle: LANCASTER GEN WM FOX FIELD, CA (# 723816)





➢ Results from NOVO PRO<sup>®</sup> match with real plant outputs very well.





➢ Results from NOVO PRO<sup>®</sup> match with real plant outputs very well.

# Conclusions



- 1. PV Solar Field in NOVO PRO<sup>®</sup> is very user-friendly.
- 2. Only six inputs by the users each of the five plants.
- 3. Built-in databases (weather database, irradiance database, PV panel library, etc.) are all available within the program.
- 4. Annual yields from NOVO PRO<sup>®</sup> match with plant actual figures very well (within  $\pm$ 5%) for all the five plants.
- 5. NOVO PRO's results are even better than the onsite programs' estimation for the first two plants, in which onsite prediction data are available.